

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS**

DAN CUMBIE,

Plaintiff,

v.

**NATIONWIDE RECOVERY
SYSTEMS,**

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Case No.:

**COMPLAINT AND DEMAND
FOR JURY TRIAL**

**(Unlawful Debt Collections
Practices)**

COMPLAINT

DAN CUMBIE (“Plaintiff”), by and through his attorneys, Kimmel & Silverman, P.C., alleges the following against NATIONWIDE RECOVERY SERVICES (“Defendant”):

INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff’s Complaint is based on Defendant’s repeated violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq.* (“FDCPA”) and the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, *et seq.* (“TCPA”).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This Court’s jurisdiction arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states that such actions may be brought and heard before “any appropriate United

1 States district court without regard to the amount in controversy,” and 28 U.S.C. §
2 1331, which grants this Court original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under
3 the laws of the United States.

4 3. Defendant regularly conducts business in the State of Texas,
5 therefore personal jurisdiction is established.
6

7 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).
8

9
10 **PARTIES**

11 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Sulphur Springs, Texas.

12 6. Plaintiff is a “consumer” as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §
13 1692a(3).
14

15 7. In the alternative, Plaintiff is a person granted a cause of action
16 under the FDCPA. See §1692 (k)(a) and Wenrich v. Cole, 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS
17 18687 (E.D. Pa. Dec. 22, 2000).

18 8. Plaintiff is a “person” as that term is defined by 47 U.S.C. §153(39).
19

20 9. Defendant is a corporation with its principal place of business
21 located at 501 Shelley Avenue, Suite 300, Tyler TX 75701.

22 10. Defendant is a “debt collector” as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C.
23 §1692 a(6), and sought to collect a debt from Plaintiff.
24
25

1 11. Defendant is a “person” as that term is defined by 47 U.S.C.
2 §153(39).

3 12. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members,
4 directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees,
5 representatives, and insurers.
6

7
8 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**
9

10 13. Plaintiff has a cellular telephone.

11 14. Plaintiff has only used this phone as a cellular telephone.

12 15. In or around January 2017, Defendant began calling Plaintiff on his
13 cellular telephone about a medical debt.

14 16. Defendant’s collectors called Plaintiff from telephone numbers
15 including, but not limited to: (903) 630-8488. The undersigned has confirmed that
16 this number belongs to Defendant.
17

18 17. Soon after the calls began, in or around February 2017, Defendant
19 told Plaintiff to stop calling him.
20

21 18. Defendant ignored Plaintiff’s request and continued to call him.

22 19. Thereafter, in or around March 2017, Plaintiff reiterated this request
23 through a submission on Defendant’s website.
24
25

1 20. Defendant again ignored Plaintiff's request and continued to call
2 him.

3 21. Once Defendant was aware that its calls were unwanted any
4 continued calls could have served no legal purpose, and could only have been
5 placed for the purpose of harassing Plaintiff.
6

7 22. When placing calls to Plaintiff, Defendant used an automated
8 telephone dialing system and/or a pre-recorded voice.
9

10 23. Plaintiff knew Defendant was using an automated telephone dialing
11 system and/or a pre-recorded voice because the calls would begin with a pre-
12 recorded message before the call would be transferred to a live caller.
13

14
15 **COUNT I**
16 **DEFENDANT VIOLATED §§ 1692d and d(5) OF THE FDCPA**

17 24. A debt collector violates §1692d by engaging in any conduct the
18 natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in
19 connection with the collection of a debt.

20 25. A debt collector violates §1692d(5) by causing a telephone to ring or
21 engaging any person in telephone conversation repeatedly or continuously with
22 intent to annoy, abuse, or harass any person at the called number.
23

24 26. Defendant violated these sections when it placed repeated and
25 continuous harassing telephone calls to Plaintiff between January 2017 and March

1 2017, knowing its calls were unwanted, and continuing to call knowing its calls
2 were unwanted.

3
4 **COUNT II**
5 **DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE TCPA**

6 27. Plaintiff incorporates the forgoing paragraphs as though the same
7 were set forth at length herein.

8
9 28. Defendant initiated automated calls to Plaintiff using an automatic
10 telephone dialing system.

11 29. Defendant's calls to Plaintiff were not made for emergency
12 purposes.

13
14 30. Defendant's calls to Plaintiff after he revoked consent were not made
15 with Plaintiff's prior express consent.

16 31. Defendant's acts as described above were done with malicious,
17 intentional, willful, reckless, wanton and negligent disregard for Plaintiff's rights
18 under the law and with the purpose of harassing Plaintiff.

19
20 32. The acts and/or omissions of Defendant were done unfairly,
21 unlawfully, intentionally, deceptively and fraudulently and absent bona fide error,
22 lawful right, legal defense, legal justification or legal excuse.
23
24
25

1 33. As a result of the above violations of the TCPA, Plaintiff has suffered
2 the losses and damages as set forth above entitling Plaintiff to an award of
3 statutory, actual and treble damages.

4 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, DAN CUMBIE, respectfully prays for judgment
5 as follows:
6

- 7 a. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §
8 1692k(a)(1);
- 9 b. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the
10 FDCPA pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);
- 11 c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs
12 and other litigation costs, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1693k(a)(3);
- 13 d. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 47 U.S.C.
14 § 227(b)(3)(A);
- 15 e. Statutory damages of \$500.00 per violative telephone call
16 pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B);
- 17 f. Treble damages of \$1,500.00 per violative telephone
18 call pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3);
- 19 g. Injunctive relief pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3);
- 20 h. Any other relief deemed fair and proper by this
21 Honorable Court.
22
23
24
25

1
2 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

3 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, DAN CUMBIE, demands a jury
4 trial in this case.
5

6
7 Respectfully submitted,
8

9 Dated: May 24, 2017

/s/ Amy L. B. Ginsburg
Amy L. B. Ginsburg, Esq.
Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.
30 East Butler Pike
Ambler, Pennsylvania 19002
Phone: (215) 540-8888
Facsimile: (877) 788-2864
Email: aginsburg@creditlaw.com
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25